INTRODUCTION

- Matrix-sentence tests are an established and validated tool to evaluate speech reception thresholds and intelligibility (Kollmeier et al. 2015).
- They use non-predictable sentences, which are combinations of 5 words (name-verb-number-adjective-object, e.g. Peter kauft drei teure Dosen).
- In (audio-only) matrix sentence tests, speech intelligibility is relatively homogeneous among words. This is done to improve the precision of the test when measuring speech reception thresholds (Kollmeier 1990).

METHOD

- OLSA matrix sentence test:
  - Lists of 20 sentences in audio-only, audiovisual and visual-only modalities with test-specific noise. Closed response type (10 possible answers per word).
  - Adaptive procedure to achieve 80% Speech Reception Threshold (SRT) i.e. 80% of each sentence should be understood.
- 28 NH participants (14 female, mean age 24.9 years - range 20-29)
  - 2-6 audiovisual, 2 audio-only and 2 visual-only lists per subject.

RESULTS – HOMOGENEITY

- Similar results for audio-only and audiovisual conditions. Adjectives are less intelligible in general.
- Only the answers of the last 10 sentences of each list were considered, as they are closer to the targeted SRT. There are around 61 and 136 appearances per word for audio-only and for audiovisual conditions respectively among all trials and subjects.

RESULTS – AUDIOVISUAL BENEFIT AND DETRIMENT

- The intelligibility of the words changes when adding visual cues, either making some words more intelligible in respect to the others or vice versa.
- Lip-reading (Visual-only) is related to the audiovisual benefit and detriment i.e. higher green bars in AV benefit than in AV detriment.

RESULTS – CONFUSION PATTERNS

- Some pairs of words are acoustically similar (schöne-schwere), visually similar (grüne-rote) or audiovisually similar (Peter-Britta).
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